Rubric for ABET Student Outcome 4: Ethics

Adapted from Sindelar, M., Shuman, L., Besterfield-Sacre, M., Miller, R., Mitcham, C., Olds, B., Pinkus, R., and Wolfe, H. (2003) Assessing Engineering Students' Abilities to Resolve Ethical Dilemmas, Proceedings, 33rd ASEE/IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference, Boulder, Colorado, November 5-8, 2003.

Evaluators are encouraged to assign a zero to any work sample that does not meet benchmark (cell one) level performance.

| | Above Proficient 3 | Proficient 2 | Below Proficient 1 |
|------------------------|---|---|---|
| Recognition of Dilemma | Student clearly identifies and frames key ethical dilemmas. Student grasps that a dilemma has opposing alternatives that must be reconciled. | Student identifies and frames key ethical dilemmas, but does not recognize need to reconcile opposing alternatives. | Student fails to recognize the problem or identifies a single dilemma without recognizing others. |
| Perspective | Student conveys a global view of the situation, considering the perspectives of the employer, the profession, society, and the protagonist of the case. | Student acknowledges multiple points of view, perhaps articulating the case from multiple points of view. | Student has a wandering focus indicating a lack of perspective or articulates only a single point of view. |
| Resolution | Student considers potential risk and/or public safety, and proposes a creative middle ground (win-win). | Student's resolution considers potential risk to the public and/or safety, and other stakeholders. | Cited only rules as the resolution, even when used out of context without attention to the unique circumstances of the situation. |